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Views on the issues to be addressed as part of the 2024 workplan of the AWP of the NCQG.

1. How should the TEDs and the meetings under the AWP be organized to bring together the elements of the
   NCQG and the options identified under each element, taking into account the linkages across each element
   and progress made in the previous meetings?
   - As a general approach, the workplan by the co-chairs should present a sequencing of discussions during 2024,
     aiming at gradually refining the NCQG elements and options to be included in a draft negotiation text.
   - We would welcome that TEDs and AWP meetings are conducted in hybrid format and webcasted to facilitate
     inclusive participation and transparency.
   - The 2023 report by the co-chairs must serve as a basis for further work on elements and options.
   - From their first sessions, work under TED and AWP meetings will have to discuss the NCQG in a comprehensive
     and integrated manner, considering linkages between elements and options.
   - The TED sessions should facilitate the following AWP meetings by providing negotiators information on
     elements, linkages and issues that require attention in the design and operationalization of the NCQG. The AWP
     meetings should focus on translating this information into text content that would become the substantive
     framework for a draft negotiating text.
   - Work to refine options, and relevant linkages for setting the quantum for the NCQG should be prioritized from
     the first TED and AWP meetings. This should include, among others elements, timeframe, thematic scope and
     structure of the goal.
   - The work on transparency arrangements and accounting matters, including the need for a definition of climate
     finance, should also be considered with enough time, avoiding leaving this discussion for the last moment.

2. How should progress be captured between the TEDs and the meetings under the AWP, and from one meeting
   to the next with a view to developing the substantive framework for a draft negotiating text by CMA 6?
   - We encourage the co-chairs, with the support of the secretariat, to continue to prepare background documents,
     including compilations and syntheses of the submissions received, and summary notes of discussions in TEDs
     and AWP meetings, as inputs to following sessions.
   - Summary notes should provide structure for the discussions, building on work from previous meetings. A
     summary of TED discussions and recommendations along with any agreements reached and topics for further
     deliberation could be presented by co-chairs at the beginning of each AWP meeting, to facilitate framing
     deliberations and sharing inputs from technical work.
   - The work should aim to gradually streamline and reduce the number of options for most elements, where
     possible. At this stage, we should avoid bringing new elements to our discussions.
3. **How can the high-level ministerial dialogue be best used to facilitate reaching an agreement on the NCQG at CMA 6, when it should be convened and in what format?**

- The high-level ministerial dialogue (HLMD) should be convened during the second part of 2024, ahead of COP29, informed by the work from TEDs and AWP meetings.
- Nevertheless, political discussions on the NCQG should be convened before and after the HMLD, using other national and international fora as opportunities to build up political ground for a substantive decision in COP29.
- The HLMD should act as an additional but targeted activity to discuss key political, common and diverging views on the NCQG.
- The debate in the HLMD should address very few but crucial political issues aiming at unlocking progress on both political and technical elements of the NCQG.
- The HLMD format should avoid to promote only statements from Ministers. Smaller group or panel discussions could help to have more substantial exchanges among Ministers.
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