

Submission by the Russian Federation
on options for the tables and outlines for the information required pursuant to
chapter IV (Reporting) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3

pursuant to paragraph 4 of 2/CMA.3

May 2022

The Russian Federation proceeds from the fact that the *Guidance on cooperative approaches referred to in Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement* adopted by the Decision 2/CMA.3 provides for the reporting requirements under Article 6.2. Thus, the text on reporting that would be considered by CMA 4 serves to clarify the formats and ensure sufficient level of detail of reporting for transparent implementation of Article 6.2.

Taking into account that the Guidance introduces several types of reporting that include similar or interdependent information and provides for reporting alongside with biennial transparency reports, we suggest that the formats for reporting under Article 6.2 should be developed based on:

- The highest possible level of alignment in terms of structure and formats between different types of reporting.
- Minimization of overlapping and duplication of information presented in the same reporting document across its sections.
- Employment of automatic means of calculation and compilation of data as practical.

The primary objectives would be:

- To establish sections of information for which sufficient reporting formats were introduced by the Decision 5/CMA.3 and exclude unnecessary duplication of information.
- To establish correlation between the information reported in different types of reporting.
- To design reporting formats that would facilitate simple comparison and tracking of information across different types of reporting.

For the sake of traceability of information across the types of reporting and better structuring of data tabular format seems preferable. For descriptive sections that do not include quantitative information a format similar to the common tabular format presented in Annex II to 5/CMA.3 could be applied.

The **initial report** should include descriptive and quantitative information as applicable. It would be practical to provide an opportunity to extract information submitted by a Party in its initial report from the CARP for prompt use to update initial report and/or compile regular information. Supporting materials could be prepared by the Supervisory Body of 6.4 Mechanism or the Secretariat to facilitate and ensure consistency of description of 6.4 Mechanism as a cooperative approach under Article 6.2 reporting. This could include examples of the description of the approach itself, description of how

it ensures environmental integrity, minimizes and avoids negative environmental, economic and social impacts, reflects the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, applies any safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of internationally transferred mitigation outcomes, contributes resources for adaptation, delivers overall mitigation in global emissions.

Annual information should be presented by each ITMO with unique identifiers with specification of cooperative approach, the other international mitigation purpose authorized by the Party, the first transferring participating Party, the using participating Party or authorized entity or entities, vintage, sector, activity type. Aggregation by the categories listed above could be conducted automatically in the Article 6 Database and should be available for extraction by the Parties to be used for the compilation of regular information. Since the 6.4 Registry will be a part of the CARP, technical solutions should be explored to facilitate prompt exchanges of annual information on ITMOs that are A6.4ERs. The 6.4 Registry could allow for extraction of data in a suitable format for the Parties to incorporate it in annual reporting or could provide for direct exchange of this information between the 6.4 Registry and the Article 6 Database at request of a Party.

Regular information should be presented in formats that follow the structure of the initial report and annual information as applicable in respect of correlating information. For suggested correlation between the information in different reporting types see Annex to this submission. Some of the information for regular reporting can be presented as provided by the 5/CMA.3 Annexes appropriately and sufficiently, its duplication should be avoided.

We would like to note that additional provisions could be required for the reporting on the ITMOs for removal activities.